

the recommendations of the General Accounting Office on improving the administration of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 by the Department of Agriculture.

S. 3106

At the request of Mr. JEFFORDS, the name of the Senator from Iowa (Mr. GRASSLEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3106, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to clarify the definition of homebound under the medicare home health benefit.

S. 3116

At the request of Mr. BREAUX, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. HAGEL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3116, a bill to amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to prevent circumvention of the sugar tariff-rate quotas.

S. 3127

At the request of Mr. SANTORUM, the names of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BOND) and the Senator from Michigan (Mr. ABRAHAM) were added as cosponsors of S. 3127, a bill to protect infants who are born alive.

S. 3137

At the request of Mr. MOYNIHAN, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3137, a bill to establish a commission to commemorate the 250th anniversary of the birth of James Madison.

S. 3147

At the request of Mr. ROBB, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. HUTCHINSON) and the Senator from New York (Mr. MOYNIHAN) were added as cosponsors of S. 3147, a bill to authorize the establishment, on land of the Department of the Interior in the District of Columbia or its environs, of a memorial and gardens in honor and commemoration of Frederick Douglass.

S. 3152

At the request of Mr. ROTH, the names of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. GRAMS) and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK) were added as cosponsors of S. 3152, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide tax incentives for distressed areas, and for other purposes.

S. 3173

At the request of Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. BENNETT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3173, a bill to improve the implementation of the environmental streamlining provisions of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century.

S. RES. 364

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the names of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. ASHCROFT), the Senator from Colorado (Mr. CAMPBELL), the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN), and the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ROBB) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 364, a resolution commending Sydney, New South Wales, Australia for its successful conduct of the 2000 Summer Olym-

pic Games and congratulating the United States Olympic Team for its outstanding accomplishments at those Olympic Games.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 145—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE PROPRIETY AND NEED FOR EXPEDITIOUS CONSTRUCTION OF THE NATIONAL WORLD WAR II MEMORIAL AT THE RAINBOW POOL ON THE NATIONAL MALL IN THE NATION'S CAPITAL

Mr. WARNER (for himself, Mr. INOUE, Mr. THURMOND, and Mr. STEVENS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. CON. RES. 145

Whereas World War II is the defining event of the twentieth century for the United States and its wartime allies;

Whereas in World War II, more than 16,000,000 American men and women served in uniform in the Armed Forces, more than 400,000 of them gave their lives, and more than 670,000 of them were wounded;

Whereas many millions more on the home front in the United States organized and sacrificed to give unwavering support to those in uniform;

Whereas fewer than 6,000,000 World War II veterans are surviving at the end of the twentieth century, and the Nation mourns the passing of more than 1,200 veterans each day;

Whereas Congress, in Public Law 103-422 (108 Stat. 4356) enacted in 1994, approved the location of a memorial to this epic era in an area of the National Mall that includes the Rainbow Pool;

Whereas since 1995, the National World War II Memorial site and design have been the subject of 19 public hearings that have resulted in an endorsement from the State Historic Preservation Officer of the District of Columbia, three endorsements from the District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board, the endorsement of many Members of Congress, and, most significantly, four approvals from the Commission of Fine Arts and four approvals from the National Capital Planning Commission (including the approvals of those Commissions for the final architectural design);

Whereas on Veterans Day 1995, the President dedicated the approved site at the Rainbow Pool on the National Mall as the site for the National World War II Memorial; and

Whereas fundraising for the National World War II Memorial has been enormously successful, garnering enthusiastic support from half a million individual Americans, hundreds of corporations and foundations, dozens of civic, fraternal, and professional organizations, state legislatures, students in 1,100 schools, and more than 450 veterans groups representing 11,000,000 veterans: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that—

(1) it is appropriate for the United States to memorialize in the Nation's Capital the triumph of democracy over tyranny in World War II, the most important event of the twentieth century;

(2) the will of the American people to memorialize that triumph and all who labored to achieve it, and the decisions made on that memorialization by the appointed bodies charged by law with protecting the public's

interests in the design, location, and construction of memorials on the National Mall in the Nation's Capital, should be fulfilled by the construction of the National World War II Memorial, as designed, at the approved and dedicated Rainbow Pool site on the National Mall; and

(3) it is imperative that expeditious action be taken to commence and complete the construction of the National World War II Memorial so that the completed memorial will be dedicated while Americans of the World War II generation are alive to receive the national tribute embodied in that memorial, which they earned with their sacrifice and achievement during the largest and most devastating war the world has known.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 146—A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION CONDEMNING THE ASSASSINATION OF FATHER JOHN KAISER AND OTHERS IN KENYA, AND CALLING FOR A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION TO BE CONDUCTED IN THOSE CASES, A REPORT ON THE PROGRESS MADE IN SUCH AN INVESTIGATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS BY DECEMBER 15, 2000, AND A FINAL REPORT ON SUCH AN INVESTIGATION TO BE MADE PUBLIC, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Mr. WELLSTONE (for himself and Mr. GRAMS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. CON. RES. 146

Whereas Father John Kaiser, a Catholic of the Order of the Mill Hill Missionaries and a native of Minnesota, who for 36 years served as a missionary in the Kisii and Ngong Dioceses in the Republic of Kenya and advocated the rights of all Kenyans, was shot dead on Wednesday, August 23, 2000;

Whereas Father Kaiser was a frequently outspoken advocate on issues of human rights and against the injustice of government corruption in Kenya;

Whereas fellow priests report that Father Kaiser spoke to them of his fear for his life on the night before his assassination;

Whereas the murders of Father Stallone, Father Graife, and Father Luigi Andeni, all of Marsabit Diocese in Kenya, the circumstances of the murder of Brother Larry Timors of Nakuru Diocese in Kenya, the murder of Father Martin Boyle of Eldoret Diocese, and the murders of other local human rights advocates in Kenya have not yet been fully explained, nor have the perpetrators of these murders been brought to justice;

Whereas the report of a Kenyan governmental commission, known as the Akiwumi Commission, on the government's investigation into tribal violence between 1992 and 1997 in Kenya's Great Rift Valley has not yet been released in spite of several requests by numerous church leaders and human rights organizations to have the Commission's findings released to the public;

Whereas, after Father Kaiser's assassination, documents were found on his body that he had intended to present to the Akiwumi Commission;

Whereas the nongovernmental Kenyan Human Rights Commission has expressed fear that the progress achieved in Kenya during the last few years in the struggle for democracy, the rule of law, respect for human rights, and meeting the basic needs of all